BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10084146 9

MATERIALS ON PROPERTY

Part I

D. Mendes da Costa
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1979/80 EDITION

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario

LAW LIBRARY

MATERIALS ON PROPERTY

PART I

D. Mendes da Costa
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1979-80 EDITION

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
TORONTO, ONTARIO.

C O N T E N T S - P A R T 1

PERSONAL PROPERTY

PREFACE

CHAPTER 1

Problems 1.1.1

Section 1 INTRODUCTION

Tucker v. Farm and General Investment Trust Ltd. 1.1.3
Problem 1.1.5
The Human Tissue Gift Act 1.1.6
Dickens, The Control of Living Body Materials 1.1.10
Problems 1.1.11
Note 1.1.11

Section 2 THE MEANING OF PROPERTY

In The Marriage of Duff 1.2.1
Brown v. The Queen in Right of British Columbia 1.2.1
The Criminal Code 1.2.4
Snow v. The Queen 1.2.4
Rapistan Canada Ltd. Minister of National Revenue 1.2.5
Note 1.2.6
International News Service v. The Associated Press 1.2.7
Desny v. Wilder 1.2.13
Note 1.2.14
Victoria Park Racing and Recreation Grounds Company Limited v. Taylor 1.2.15
Note 1.2.18
Reich, The New Property 1.2.19

Section 3 PROPERTY - A CONCEPT OF LAW

Rex v. MacEwen 1.3.1
Note 1.3.3

Section 4 APPROPRIATION OF ONE'S PERSONALITY

Krouse v. Chrysler Canada Ltd. 1.4.1
Athans v. Canadian Adventure Camps Ltd. 1.4.4
Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard Broadcasting Co. 1.4.7
The Privacy Act 1.4.10
Burnett v. The Queen in Right of Canada 1.4.12
Note 1.4.13

Section 5 DISTINCTION BETWEEN REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY

Hoegy v. General Accident Assurance Co. of Canada 1.5.1
Aigler, Smith and Tefft, Cases on Property 1.5.5

CHAPTER II

CONCEPTS OF OWNERSHIP AND POSSESSION

Problem 2.1.1

Section 1 WHAT IS THE CONCEPT OF POSSESSION? WHAT ARE ITS CONSTITUENT ELEMENTS

Eads et al. v. Brazelton 2.1.2
The Tubatia 2.1.5
Keron v. Cashman 2.1.9
Edmonds v. Ronella 2.1.10
Note 2.1.12
Note 2.1.12
Note 2.1.13

Section 2 OWNERSHIP IN RELATION TO POSSESSION

Wison v. Lombank 2.2.1
United States v. McClain 2.2.4
United States v. Long Cove Seafood et al. 2.2.5

CHAPTER III

PROBLEMS RELATING TO FINDING

Problem 3.1.1

Section 1 RIGHTS OF A FINDER IN RELATION TO PARTIES OTHER THAN THE OWNER, WHEN PROPERTY IS LOST

Armory v. Delamirie 3.1.1
Bird v. Ft. Frances 3.1.2
The Criminal Code 3.1.5
Note 3.1.5

Section 2 WHO IS A "FINDER"?

Problem 3.2.1
Grafstein v. Holme and Freeman 3.2.1
Note 3.2.9
White v. Alton Lewis 3.2.10
Kowal v. Ellis 3.2.12
Haynen v. Mundle 3.2.15
Buell v. Foley 3.2.15
The Toronto Daily Star 3.2.16

Section 3 RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF A FINDER IN RELATION TO OWNER

Moffatt v. Kazana 3.3.1
The Law of Property Act, 1925 3.3.4
Isaack v. Clark 3.3.5
Nicholson v. Chapman 3.3.5
Meekins v. Simpson 3.3.7

Section 4 CONCLUSION

United States v. Taglione 3.4.1
Hanna v. Gulf Log Salvage Co-operative Association 3.4.2
The Police Act 3.4.3
The Railways Act 3.4.4
Problems 3.4.5

CHAPTER IV

EXTINGUISHMENT OF TITLE

Problem 4.1.1

Section 1 THE PERIOD OF LIMITATION

Ontario Law Reform Commission Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 9-10 4.1.2
The Limitations Act 4.1.2
Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) page 35 4.1.3
Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 41-42 4.1.3
Discussion Draft of Proposed Act 4.1.4

Section 2 EXTINGUISHMENT OF RIGHT

Statute of Limitations 4.2.1
Chapin et al. v. Freeland 4.2.1
Note 4.2.2
Priestner v. Milleman 4.2.3
Note 4.2.5
St. Vladimir College and Minor Seminary v. Champs Take Home Ltd. 4.2.5
Note 4.2.7
Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 126-133 4.2.7
Note 4.2.9
Re Karkut and Highway Traffic Board (Sask.) et al. 4.2.10
Note 4.2.11

Section 3 DETINUE AND CONVERSION

Clayton v. LeRoy 4.3.1
Baud Corp. v. Brook 4.3.2
Wilkinson v. Verity 4.3.3
Thomas Brown and Sons Limited v. Fazal Deen and Another 4.3.5
Discussion Draft of a Proposed Act 4.3.5

Section 4 ABSENCE OF KNOWLEDGE

Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 100-101, 108-111 4.4.1
The Limitations Act 4.4.4
Eddis v. Chichester Constable 4.4.5
Discussion on Draft of Proposed Act 4.4.8
Problem 4.4.9

CHAPTER V

BAILMENTS

Section 1 INTRODUCTION

(a) General

Mears v. Sayers 5.1.1
Note 5.1.3

(b) Bailment and Non-Exclusive Possession

Allison Concrete v. Canadian Pacific Ltd. 5.1.4

(c) Extent of Bailment

Heffron v. Imperial Parking Co. 5.1.6
Minichiello v. Devonshire Hotel (1967) Ltd. 5.1.6
Note 5.1.8
Note 5.1.9

Section 2 NATURE OF AND DISTINCTION BETWEEN BAILMENTS AND SIMILAR TRANSACTIONS

(a) Bailment and Licences

Bata v. City Parking Canada Ltd. 5.2.1
Heffron v. Imperial Parking Co. et al. 5.2.4
Note 5.2.8

(b) Bailment and Sales

Crawford v. Kingston and Johnston 5.2.9
International Harvester Credit Corp. of Canada Ltd. v. Dolphin, Howe, Third Party 5.2.10
Note 5.2.11

(c) Bailment and the Employee Relationship

Wiebe v. Lepp 5.2.12

Section 3 A RELATIONSHIP INITIALLY ESTABLISHED MAY CHANGE

McKinnon v. Acadian Van Lines 5.3.1
Degrace v. Central Garage Sales & Service 5.3.3
Note 5.3.5

Section 4 TYPES OF BAILMENTS AND THEIR CORRESPONDING DUTIES

(a) Introduction

Seaspan International Ltd. v. The Ship "Kostis Prois" 5.4.1
Note 5.4.2

(b) General

Southcote's Case 5.4.3
Fairley & Stevens (1966) Ltd. v. Goldsworthy 5.4.4

(c) Custody (Depositum)

Mansfield Importers and Distributors Ltd. v. Casco Terminals Ltd. 5.4.11
Brewer v. Calori 5.4.12
Note 5.4.15
Mitchell v. Ealing London Borough Council 5.4.16

(d) To Accomplish a Specific Purpose (Mandatum)

Remme v. Wall 5.4.19

(e) Loan (Commodatum)

Desjardins v. Theriault 5.4.22

(f) Hire of Chattels

Canadian National Railway Co. v. Hammill 5.4.24

(g) Hire of Custody (Locatio Custodiae)

MacDonald v. Whittaker Textiles (Marysville) Ltd. 5.4.25
Note 5.4.27

(h) Service or Repair Provided by Bailee (Operis Faciendi)

Lundrigan v. Pierce 5.4.28
Chaing v. Heppner 5.4.30

(i) The Rule in Lilley v. Doubleday

Van Dorne v. North American Van Lines (Canada) Ltd. 5.4.32
Mochinski v. North American Van Lines (Canada) Ltd. 5.4.34

(j) The Position Where a Bailee Enters into a Sub-Bailment

Edwards v. Newland &Co.; E. Burchett, L.D., Third Party 5.4.35
Note 5.4.39
Woods Motors Ltd. v. MacTavish et al. 5.4.40

(k) Common Carrier

Brookins v. Canadian National Railway Co. 5.4.42

Section 5 LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO THE BAILED PROPERTY

(a) The Onus on the Bailor

United Refrigerator Parts Co. Ltd. v. Consolidated Fastfrate 5.5.1
Note 5.5.6
Allison Concrete Ltd. v. Canadian Pacific Ltd. 5.5.8

(b) The Onus on the Bailee

Port Swettenham Authority v. T.W. Wu & Co. (M) Son. Bhd. 5.5.9
National Trust Co. Ltd. v. Wong Aviation Ltd. et al. 5.5.12
Ware Chemical of Canada Ltd. v. Cosmos Chemical Ltd. 5.5.18
Canadian National Railway v. Hammill 5.5.19

Section 6 DUTIES OF BAILORS

Coleshaw v. Lipsett 5.6.1
McTague v. Inland Lines Limited 5.6.2

Section 7 EXCULPATORY CLAUSES

(a) The Requirement of Adequate Notice

Samuel Smith & Sons Ltd. v. Silverman 5.7.1
McCutcheon v. David MacBrayne Ltd. 5.7.4
Note 5.7.8

(b) Interpretation of Exculpatory Clauses

Leva v. Lam 5.7.9
Mendelssohn v. Normand 5.7.10

(c) Fundamental Breach

Levison v. Patent Steam Carpet Cleaning Co. Ltd. 5.7.13
Davidson v. Three Spruces Realty Ltd. 5.7.19
Heffron v. Imperial Parking Co. 5.7.22

(d) Statute and Exculpatory Clauses; An Example

Evans Products Ltd. v. Crest Warehousing Ltd. 5.7.25

Section 8 RIGHT OF BAILEE TO SUE THIRD PERSON

The Winkfield 5.8.1
Minichiello v. Devonshire Hotel (1967) Ltd. (No. 2) 5.8.6
Thorne v. MacGregor 5.8.9
Associtès Discount Corporations v. Gillineau 5.8.11
Juniata Acceptance Corporation v. Hoffman 5.8.13
Courtenay v. Knutson 5.8.15

Section 9 RIGHT OF BAILOR TO SUE THIRD PERSON

Donald v. Suckling 5.9.1
Chapman v. Robinson and Ferguson 5.9.3

Section 10 SPECIAL BAILMENTS - INNKEEPERS

Laing v. Allied Innkeepers Ltd. 5.10.1
R. & R. Cunningham Enterprises Ltd. v. Vollmers 5.10.7
Notes 5.10.9
Theeman v. Forte Properties Ltd. 5.10.10
The Innkeepers Act 5.10.12

CHAPTER VI

GIFTS INTER VIVOS

Problem 6.1.1

Section 1 INTRODUCTION

McIntyre v. Royal Trust Co. 6.1.2

Section 2 GIFT OF A CHOSE IN POSSESSION BY DELIVERY

Irons v. Smallpiece 6.2.1
Cochrane v. Moore 6.2.2
McHugh v. Robichaud 6.2.8
Dewar v. Dewar 6.2.10
Thomas v. Times Book Co. Ltd. 6.2.12
In Re Cole, a Bankrupt, Ex Parte the Trustee of the Property of the Bankrupt 6.2.17
Note 6.2.21
Pascoe v. Turner 6.2.22
In Re Estate of Evans 6.2.24
Brown, The Law of Personal Property 6.2.28
Problem 6.2.29

Section 3 GIFTS OF A CHOSE IN ACTION

Nesbitt v. Chester 6.3.1
Sanderson v. Halstead 6.3.5
Note 6.3.8
Re Fenton Estate 6.3.9
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act 6.3.14
Mears v. Sayers 6.3.14

Section 4 DEEDS OF GIFT

(a) Introduction

Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property 6.4.1

(b) Sealed

Butt v. Humber 6.4.1
First National Securities Ltd. v. Jones 6.4.5

(c) Delivered

Re Sammon 6.4.9
Carson v. Wilson 6.4.17
Land Titles Act 6.4.18

Section 5 TRUSTS

The Statute of Frauds 6.5.1
Paul v. Constance 6.5.2
Re Mellen 6.5.5
Carson v. Wilson 6.5.6
Note 6.5.8

CHAPTER VII

PROBLEMS RELATING TO INTENT

Section 1 THE PRESUMPTIONS

Note 7.1.1
Lattimer v. Lattimer 7.1.1
Note 7.1.3
Notes 7.1.4
Davies v. Bonnell 7.1.5
Note .1.10
Re Fenton Estate .1.11

Section 2 DISCLAIMER

Re Paradise Motor Co. Ltd. 7.2.1
Re Fenton Estate 7.2.3

CHAPTER VIII

GIFTS DONATIO MORTIS CAUSA

Section 1 INTRODUCTION

Re Fenton Estate 8.1.1

Section 2 CHOSE IN POSSESSION

Thompson v. Mechan 8.2.1
Notes 8.2.4
Re Fenton Estate 8.2.5
Fendley v. Laster 8.2.6

Section 3 CHOSE IN ACTION

Re Kuyat Dreyer and Dreyer v. Official Administrator 8.3.1
Brown v. Rotenburg, Sydner and Kurtz 8.3.2
Randell's Estate v. Randell et al. 8.3.3

Section 4 PROBLEMS

Problems 8.4.1

CHAPTER IX

FACTORS VITIATING AN OTHERWISE VALID GIFT

(a) Introduction

Crossley Vaines, Personal Property 9.1.1
Note 9.1.1

(b) Undue Influence

In Re Brocklehurst's Estate 9.1.2
McPhail v. Maloney 9.1.9
Tannock v. Bromley 9.1.12
Public Trustee v. Skoretz 9.1.14
Note 9.1.15
Slovchenko v. Toronto-Dominion Bank and Mereshko 9.1.16
Note 9.1.16

(c) Duress

Forbes v. Forbes 9.1.17

(d) Mental Capacity

Stoppel v. Loesner 9.1.19
In Re Beaney 9.1.21

(e) Corroboration

McTaggart v. Boffo 9.1.23
Notes 9.1.26

CHAPTER X

NATURE OF A TRANSACTION - INTER VIVOS OR TESTAMENTARY

Anderson v. Patton 10.1.1
Countway v. Haughan 10.1.4
Cross v. Foley and Levy 10.1.6

PREFACE

These materials are prepared for teaching purposes only. They are provided only for the purpose of discussion in class. They are to be used for no other purpose. The aim of these materials is to introduce the student to some general principles relating to the Law of Real and Personal Property. Volume 1 deals with some aspects of the Law of Personal Property. Volumes 2 to 5 are concerned with some aspects of the Law of Real Property and also with Leaseholds.

This material does not purport to be comprehensive or exhaustive. Further, it is compiled on the assumption that other topics, including, for example, land use planning, land finance, land development, real estate transactions, community planning, environmental control, and expropriation are dealt with later in the curriculum. These topics are not included within the scope of this Casebook.